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Students with experience in integration techniques know that some integrals may be integrated in more than one way; for example, by means of different substitutions, usage of different trigonometric and algebraic identities, etc. However, most students have the perception that there is only one way to differentiate a given expression. The purpose of this article is to show, indeed, that the derivative of a function may also be found in more than one way. Although we are going to look at one particular function in this article, we hope that this will be a motivation for the reader to investigate and find other functions that can be differentiated in several ways.

Let

$$
f(x)=\left(a_{1} x+b_{1}\right)^{m_{1}}\left(a_{2} x+b_{2}\right)^{m_{2}} \cdots\left(a_{n} x+b_{n}\right)^{m_{n}}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}$ are arbitrary complex numbers, and $m_{i}$ are arbitrary real numbers $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. For the sake of simplicity we write

$$
f(x)=A\left(x+B_{1}\right)^{m_{1}}\left(x+B_{2}\right)^{m_{2}} \cdots\left(x+B_{n}\right)^{m_{n}}
$$

where

$$
A=a_{1}^{m_{1}} a_{2}^{m_{2}} \cdots a_{n}^{m_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{i}=\frac{b_{i}}{a_{i}}
$$

Problem. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left(a_{1} x+b_{1}\right)^{m_{1}}\left(a_{2} x+b_{2}\right)^{m_{2}} \cdots\left(a_{n} x+b_{n}\right)^{m_{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=f(x)\left(\frac{m_{1}}{x+B_{1}}+\frac{m_{2}}{x+B_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{m_{n}}{x+B_{n}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, m_{i}, B_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, and $A$ are as above.
Proof. We show in three different ways that (2) is the derivative of (1).
Method 1. Anyone with some knowledge of differentiation is familiar with this method. We apply the chain rule as well as the product rule.

Method 2. In this method we first take the logarithm of both sides of (1) and then we differentiate. Notice that for large $n$ this method is quicker and therefore, preferable over the first method.

Method 3. Finally, we are going to obtain (2) from (1) without actually calculating $f^{\prime}(x)$. To the author's knowledge this method is new, and was the motivation for writing this article. First note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+h)=f(x)\left(1+\frac{h}{x+B_{1}}\right)^{m_{1}}\left(1+\frac{h}{x+B_{2}}\right)^{m_{2}} \cdots\left(1+\frac{h}{x+B_{n}}\right)^{m_{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let

$$
g_{i}(h)=\left(1+\frac{h}{x+B_{i}}\right)^{m_{i}}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Now, if $m_{i}$ is a positive integer, then by the Binomial Theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(h)=\sum_{k=0}^{m_{i}}\binom{m_{i}}{k}\left(\frac{h}{x+B_{i}}\right)^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, the Maclaurin series for $g_{i}(h)$ is (for example, see [2, p. 329])

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(h)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right)\left(m_{i}-2\right) \cdots\left(m_{i}-k+1\right)}{k!}\left(\frac{h}{x+B_{i}}\right)^{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if for each $i$ we substitute the expansion of $g_{i}(h)$ from (4) or (5) in (3), and then write the result in ascending powers of $h$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+h)=f(x)+f(x)\left(\frac{m_{1}}{x+B_{1}}+\frac{m_{2}}{x+B_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{m_{n}}{x+B_{n}}\right) h+\cdots . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by Taylor's formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+h)=f(x)+h f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(x)+\cdots+\frac{h^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f^{(n-1)}(x)+R_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, comparing coefficients of $h$ in expressions (6) and (7), again we obtain (2).
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