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[Note: (A), (U), (M) refer to the authors' names. The pronoun I always means (A).]

Japanese Theorem. Triangulate a cyclic polygon by lines drawn from any vertex. The sum of the radii of the incircles of the triangles is independent of the vertex chosen.


1. Background. I (A) found this theorem in a 1993 article [5], where the author Nick Mackinnon wrote "... I have used the above theorem as a starter for course work, not expecting a proof of the theorem (I can't even prove it myself) ..." It was the author's remark in parenthesis that intrigued me. In fall 1995 I assigned this theorem as a problem to Cathy, a Masters Degree student. By spring 1996 both Cathy and I had a proof of the theorem [3]. But one question remained: Why is it called the Japanese Theorem? My long search for the answer ended when I received a 15-page fax in English, French, and Japanese from Professor Yoshida of Kyoto University.

The theorem (Quadrilateral case) had originated in China [6]. So, when it came to Japan around 1900, it was known as the "Chinese Theorem" [4]. Later, when Y. Mikami generalized it from a quadrilateral to a polygon, the name remained the "Chinese Theorem". So, who coined the term "The Japanese Theorem"? This theorem, without a name, appeared in a 1906 article entitled, "Japanese Mathematics" [2]. We believe this led the later authors to call it the "Japanese Theorem".

This theorem is displayed on a wooden tablet in a Shinto shrine. The hanging of such tablets showing mathematical theorems was a common custom in Edo era
in Japan [1]. These tablets, called Sangaku in Japanese, can be seen all over Japan. The Sangaku of our theorem was once hanging in the Tsuruoka-San'nosha shrine in the Ushu area (at present Yamagata and Akita prefectures of Japan), but it has since disappeared.

To learn more about the history of the theorem, in summer 1999 I traveled to Japan, where (M) and I searched the libraries of Kyoto University for references. At the same time Professor ( U ) of Mie University was examining and analyzing every available document relevant to the theorem. His findings are published in a paper (in Japanese) in the Journal of Mie University [7]. The authors plan to write a detailed history of the theorem in another paper, leaving the present paper to focus on the geometry only.
2. Plan. In part I, we state a few elementary results, E1, E2, ..., E5, and then derive results G1, G2, ..., G8 in Geometry, of which a few are well-known. We end part I with the oldest proof of the theorem. In part II we show a variety of proofs - five different proofs by Japanese mathematicians. We end our paper with a discussion of the generalized (polygonal) case of the theorem and the conclusion.

Elementary Results. In any triangle $A B C$, the following are true:
(E1) $\sin A+\sin B+\sin C=4 \cos \frac{A}{2} \cos \frac{B}{2} \cos \frac{C}{2}$.
(E2) $\sin A+\sin B-\sin C=4 \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \cos \frac{C}{2}$.
(E3) $\cos A+\cos B+\cos C=1+4 \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}$.
(E4) If chords $A B$ and $C D$ of a circle intersect at $P$, then $P A \cdot P B=P C \cdot P D$.

(E5) Ptolemy's Theorem. If a quadrilateral $A B C D$ is inscribed in a circle, then $A B \cdot \overline{C D+B C \cdot A D=A} C \cdot B D$.
3. Results from Plane Geometry. We will prove results G1 to G8 (some of these are known theorems) needed for the proofs that follow. For a triangle $A B C$, let $O, R$, and $I, r$ denote the center and radius of the circumcircle and the incircle, respectively.

(G1) $r=4 R \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}$.
Proof. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =B C=r \cot \frac{B}{2}+r \cot \frac{C}{2}=r\left[\frac{\cos \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}+\cos \frac{C}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2}}{\sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}}\right] \\
& =r\left[\frac{\sin \left(\frac{B}{2}+\frac{C}{2}\right)}{\sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}}\right]=r\left[\frac{\cos \frac{A}{2}}{\sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
r=a\left[\frac{\sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}}{\cos \frac{A}{2}}\right] .
$$

But

$$
a=2 R \sin A=4 R \sin \frac{A}{2} \cos \frac{A}{2},
$$

hence,

$$
r=4 R \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2} .
$$

(G2) If $A I$ produced meets the circumcircle in $F$, then $F B, F C, F I$ are equal. In other words the points $B, C$, and $I$ lie on a circle with center $F$.
 $\angle C B F=\angle I B C+\angle C A F=B / 2+A / 2$. Thus, $\angle B I F=\angle I B F$ and $F I=F B$. Similarly, $F I=F C$. So the points $B, I$, and $C$ lie on a circle with center $F$.

(G3) $R^{2}-2 R r=O I^{2}$ (This result is also known as Chapple's Theorem.)
Proof. Extend $A I$ till it meets the circumcircle in $F$. We draw two diameters $F O G$ and $K I O L$ through $O$. Let $I J$ be the perpendicular from $I$ to side $A B$, then $I J=r$. Since $\angle B A F=\angle B G F$, the two right triangles $A J I$ and $G B F$ are similar. Hence, $r / A I=B F / 2 R$, or $2 R r=A I \cdot B F=A I \cdot I F$, which by (E4) equals $L I \cdot I K=(R+O I)(R-O I)=R^{2}-O I^{2}$. Hence, $R^{2}-2 R r=O I^{2}$.
(G4) (Carnot's Theorem) Let $a_{1}, b_{1}$, and $c_{1}$ denote the lengths of perpendiculars from $O$ to sides $B C, C A$, and $A B$, respectively. Then $R+r=b_{1}+c_{1}+a_{1}$, if $O$ lies within the triangle $A B C$, and $R+r=b_{1}+c_{1}-a_{1}$ if say $O A$ intersects $B C$.


Proof. Since $\angle B O C=2 \angle A$, the length $a_{1}$ is either $R \cos A$, or $R \cos (\pi-A)=$ $-R \cos A$, depending upon the position of $O$. Thus, $b_{1}+c_{1} \pm a_{1}=R[\cos B+\cos C+$ $\cos A]$ which equals $R[1+4 \sin A / 2 \sin B / 2 \sin C / 2]$ by (E3), and equals $R+r$ by (G1). Thus, $R+r=b_{1}+c_{1} \pm a_{1}$.
(G5) Let $A B C D$ be a quadrilateral inscribed in a circle. If $E, F, G$, and $H$ denote the midpoints of the $\operatorname{arcs} A B, B C, C D$, and $D A$, respectively, then the lines $E G$ and $F H$ are perpendicular.

Proof. If we suppose that the $\operatorname{arcs} A B, B C, C D$, and $D A$ make angles $2 \alpha, 2 \beta$, $2 \gamma$, and $2 \delta$ at the center of the circle, then the sum $2 \alpha+2 \beta+2 \gamma+2 \delta=2 \pi$. Now the arc $E F$ makes angle $\alpha+\beta$ at the center, so $\angle E H F=(1 / 2)(\alpha+\beta)$. Similarly, arc $G H$ makes angle $\gamma+\delta$ at the center, hence, $\angle G E H=(1 / 2)(\gamma+\delta)$. If the lines $E G$ and $F H$ intersect in $S$, then the sum of the two angles $S H E$ and $H E S$ of triangle $E S H$ is $(1 / 2)(\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta)=(1 / 2) \pi$, making the third angle $\angle E S H$ a right angle.

(G6) Let $A B C D$ be a rectangle whose diagonals intersect at $S$. Let $P$ be any point in a plane. Then $P A^{2}+P C^{2}=P B^{2}+P D^{2}=2 P S^{2}+2 A S^{2}$.

Proof. Since $P S$ is the median for triangles $P C A$ and $P B D$, we have $P A^{2}+$ $P C^{2}=2 A S^{2}+2 P S^{2}=P B^{2}+P D^{2}$.
(G7) If $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}$ are the incenters of triangles $A B C, B C D, C D A$, and $D A B$, respectively, then the figure $I_{1} I_{2} I_{3} I_{4}$ is a rectangle.


Proof. Let $E, F, G, H$ denote the midpoints of the $\operatorname{arcs} A B, B C, C D$, and $D A$, respectively. Then $E$ lies on the bisectors of angles $B C A$ and $B D A$. Similarly, each of the points $F, G$, and $H$ lies on two angle bisectors as shown in the diagram. This means that the bisectors $A F$ and $C E$ intersect at $I_{1}$, and the same for $I_{2}, I_{3}$, and $I_{4}$. The equal arcs $A H$ and $H D$ make equal angles at $F$, hence, $F H$ is the bisector of angle $A F D$. For the same reason, $F H$ is also the angle bisector of angle $B H C$. By using (G2) on triangles $A B C$ and $B D C$, we get $F I_{1}=F B=F C=F I_{2}$. This makes $I_{1} I_{2}$ perpendicular to the bisector of angle $I_{1} F I_{2}$, which is $F H$. Similarly, $I_{3} I_{4}$ is perpendicular to $F H$, and this makes $I_{1} I_{2}$ and $I_{3} I_{4}$ parallel lines. Similarly, $I_{1} I_{4}$ and $I_{2} I_{3}$ are parallel lines, both being perpendicular to $E G$. But (G5) says that $E G$ and $F H$ are perpendicular. Hence, the figure $I_{1} I_{2} I_{3} I_{4}$ is a rectangle.
(G8) Let $I L, I M, I N$ be the perpendiculars from the incenter $I$ to the sides $B C$, $C A$, and $A B$ of triangle $A B C$. Then, $A M=A N=(1 / 2)(b+c-a), B L=B N=$ $(1 / 2)(c+a-b)$, and $C L=C M=(1 / 2)(a+b-c)$.


Proof. Note that $A M=A N, B L=B N, C L=C M$. Thus, $2 A M+2 B L+2 C L$ equals $a+b+c$, or $2 A M+2 a=a+b+c$. Hence, $A M=(1 / 2)(b+c-a)$.
4. Earliest Attempt. Japan was a closed society until 1854, when Commodore Perry forced open its doors, and Japan began to exchange goods and knowledge with the western countries. The native Japanese mathematics prior to its contact with the European world was known as Wasan, which means Japanese Mathematics. The earliest proof of the Japanese Theorem is found in a book on Wasan written by Tameyuki Yoshida [9]. We are not sure if Yoshida himself gave
this proof. The interesting thing about this proof, besides being the earliest, is that it is based on just two properties of the circle - (1) from any point outside the circle, tangents drawn to the circle have equal length, and (2) an arc of a circle makes the same angles at any point on the circle. We now present this proof.

Japanese Theorem (Quadrilateral Case). Let $A B C D$ be a quadrilateral inscribed in a circle. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}$ be the radii of the circles $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$, and $C_{4}$ inscribed in triangles $A B C, B C D, C D A$, and $D A B$, respectively. Then $r_{1}+r_{3}=r_{2}+r_{4}$.


Diagram (A)


Diagram (B)

Proof. Let $P_{i}, Q_{i}$, and $H_{i}$ denote the points where the circle $C_{i}$ touches the sides as shown in diagrams (A) and (B). The first step of the proof is to show that $H_{1} H_{3}$ and $H_{2} H_{4}$ are equal. To do so, let $\mathbf{E}$ denote the expression $A D+B C-$ $A B-C D$. From diagram (A), $\mathbf{E}=\left(A Q_{3}+D Q_{3}\right)+\left(B Q_{1}+C Q_{1}\right)-\left(A P_{1}+B P_{1}\right)-$ $\left(C P_{3}+D P_{3}\right)$. But $A P_{1}=A H_{1}, A Q_{3}=A H_{3}, C Q_{1}=C H_{1}, C P_{3}=C H_{3}$, and so on. Hence, $\mathbf{E}=A H_{3}+C H_{1}-A H_{1}-C H_{3}=\left(A H_{3}-A H_{1}\right)+\left(C H_{1}-C H_{3}\right)=2 H_{1} H_{3}$. From diagram (B), $\mathbf{E}=\left(A P_{4}+D P_{4}\right)+\left(B P_{2}+C P_{2}\right)-\left(A Q_{4}+B Q_{4}\right)-\left(C Q_{2}+D Q_{2}\right)$. But $B P_{2}=B H_{2}, B Q_{4}=B H_{4}$, and so on. Thus, $\mathbf{E}=D H_{4}+B H_{2}-B H_{4}-D H_{2}=$ $\left(D H_{4}-D H_{2}\right)+\left(B H_{2}-B H_{4}\right)=2 H_{2} H_{4}$. Hence, $H_{1} H_{3}=H_{2} H_{4}$.

Let arcs $A B, B C, C D, D A$ make angles $2 \alpha, 2 \beta, 2 \gamma$, and $2 \delta$, respectively at points on the circle. This means $\angle A C B=\angle A D B=2 \alpha, \angle B A C=\angle B D C=2 \beta$,
$\angle C A D=\angle C B D=2 \gamma$, and $\angle D B A=\angle D C A=2 \delta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tan \alpha=\frac{r_{1}}{C H_{1}}=\frac{r_{4}}{D H_{4}}, \quad \tan \beta=\frac{r_{1}}{A H_{1}}=\frac{r_{2}}{D H_{2}} \\
& \tan \gamma=\frac{r_{3}}{A H_{3}}=\frac{r_{2}}{B H_{2}}, \quad \tan \delta=\frac{r_{3}}{C H_{3}}=\frac{r_{4}}{B H_{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives us $r_{1} D H_{4}-r_{4} C H_{1}=0, r_{2} A H_{1}-r_{1} D H_{2}=0, r_{3} B H_{2}-r_{2} A H_{3}=0$, and $r_{4} C H_{3}-r_{3} B H_{4}=0$. On adding these, we get $r_{1}\left(D H_{4}-D H_{2}\right)+r_{3}\left(B H_{2}-B H_{4}\right)=$ $r_{2}\left(A H_{3}-A H_{1}\right)+r_{4}\left(C H_{1}-C H_{3}\right)$, or $r_{1}\left(H_{2} H_{4}\right)+r_{3}\left(H_{2} H_{4}\right)=r_{2}\left(H_{1} H_{3}\right)+r_{4}\left(H_{1} H_{3}\right)$. But $H_{2} H_{4}=H_{1} H_{3}$, hence, $r_{1}+r_{3}=r_{2}+r_{4}$. This completes the proof.
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