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#### Abstract

A simple proof of a theorem unifying Bolzano's Theorem [8], the Intermediate Value Theorem, Rouché's Theorem [3] and its extensions to differentiable maps to $\mathbb{R}^{n}[2,6,9]$ is obtained. This unifying theorem in particular shows that in Professor Baker's [1] examples where the number of solutions of $f(x)=y$ for a continuous map $f: B^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, $y \notin f\left(\partial B^{2}\right)$, from the unit ball $B^{2}$ in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is not exactly the absolute value of the winding number of the curve $f\left(\partial B^{2}\right)$ about $y$, the number of the connected components of the solution set counted with multiplicity coincides with the winding number.


1. Introduction. Professor Shih [8] has observed that Bolzano's Theorem, an equivalent of the Intermediate Value Theorem, may be stated as follows: If $f$ is a real-valued continuous function on the closed interval $I=[-1,1]$ and $x f(x)>0$ for $x \in \partial I$, the boundary of $I$, then $f$ has at least one zero in $I$. Theorem A presents an analogue of Bolzano's Theorem $[8]$ and Rouché's Theorem $[1,3]$ for the $n$-dimensional complex plane $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Theorem A. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $f, g: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be continuous and holomorphic in $\Omega$. Then

1. [9] The map $f$ has exactly one zero in $\Omega$ if the origin $O \in \Omega$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{z} \cdot f(z))>0$ for $z \in \partial \Omega$.
2. [2, 6] The maps $f$ and $g$ have the same number of zeros counting multiplicity if

$$
|f(z)-g(z)|<|f(z)| \text { for } z \in \partial \Omega
$$

In this note, using the notion of intersection number defined for continuous maps [7], a simple proof of a unifying generalization of Theorem A to continuous maps in higher dimensions is obtained. The proof is simple, direct, and accessible.
2. Preliminaries. Definitions and terminologies are adopted from Guillemin and Pollack [4]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map from a manifold $X$ with boundary $\partial X$ to a manifold $Y$. Submanifolds and the boundary of a manifold with orientation are as usual provided with induced orientations. A point $y \in Y$ is a regular value of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ if $d f_{x}\left(T_{x}(X)\right)=T_{y}(Y)$ for $x \in f^{-1}(y)$, where $d f_{x}$ denotes the differential map of $T_{x}(X)$, the tangent space of $X$ at $x$. The set of regular values of $f, R(f)$, is dense in $Y$ by

Sard's Theorem [4]. Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a domain and $A \subset \bar{X}$. The maps $f, g: \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$ are (smoothly in $X)[\bmod (A, y)]$ homotopic if there is a continuous map $F:(\alpha, \beta) \times \bar{X} \rightarrow Y,[0,1] \subset(\alpha, \beta)$, (smooth in $(\alpha, \beta) \times X)$, $[y \in Y-F([0,1] \times A)]$ with $F(0, z)=f(z)$ and $F(1, z)=g(z)$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map and $D \subset X$, the restriction of $f$ to $D$ will be denoted by $f_{D}$.

Definition 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous and differentiable in $\Omega$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$. The intersection number, $I(f, y)$, of $f(\Omega)$ with $y$ is defined as $\limsup _{y_{i} \rightarrow y}\left\{N\left(f, y_{i}\right): y_{i} \in\right.$ $R(f)\}$, where $N\left(f, y_{i}\right)$ denotes the total number of preimages $x \in f^{-1}\left(y_{i}\right)$ counting orientation, i.e., with a preimage $x$ making a contribution +1 or -1 depending whether the determinant of $(d f)_{x}$ is positive or negative, respectively.

Proposition 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous and differentiable in $\Omega$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$. Then $I(f, w)=$ $I(f, y)$ for $w \in W$, the component of $\mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$ containing $y$.

Proof. Since $W \cap R(f)$ is connected and dense in $W$, it suffices to show that the map $h$ defined by $h(z)=N(f, z)$ is locally constant in $W \cap R(f)$. Let $z \in W \cap R(f)$. If $f^{-1}(z)=\emptyset$, then there is a neighborhood $V \subset W$ of $z$ such that $f^{-1}(V)=\emptyset$ and thus, $h(w)=0$ for $w \in V$. Suppose $f^{-1}(z)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. There is an open neighborhood $V \subset W$ of $z$ and open neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of $x_{i}$ such that (i) $f^{-1}(V)=U_{1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{k}$, (ii) the sets $\bar{U}_{i} \subset \Omega$ are pairwise disjoint, and (iii) each $f_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism. This shows $h(w)=h(z)$ for $w \in V$.

Proposition 2. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $[0,1] \subset(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $G:(\alpha, \beta) \times \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous and differentiable in $(\alpha, \beta) \times \Omega$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-G((\alpha, \beta) \times \partial \Omega)$. Then $I\left(F_{0}, y\right)=I\left(F_{1}, y\right)$, where $F_{t}(x)=G(t, x)$.

Proof. Let $t_{0} \in[0,1]$. From the compactness of $[0,1]$, it is enough to show that there is an $\epsilon>0$ such that $I\left(F_{t}, y\right)=I\left(F_{t_{0}}, y\right)$ if $t \in\left(t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right)$. The map $H$ on $(\alpha, \beta) \times \bar{\Omega}$ defined by $H(t, x)=\left(t, F_{t}(x)\right)$ is differentiable and $J H(t, x)=\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial H(t, z)}{\partial(t, z)}=\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial F_{t}(x)}{\partial(x)}$ for $x \in \Omega$.

If $H^{-1}\left(t_{0}, y\right)=\emptyset$, there is a neighborhood $V$ of $\left(t_{0}, y\right)$ with (i) $H^{-1}(V)=\emptyset$ and (ii) $\left[t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right] \times B_{r}(y) \subset V$ for $\epsilon>0$ and $r>0$ where $B_{r}(y)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|w-y|<r\right\}$. Since for $t \in\left[t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right]$, $F_{t}^{-1}\left(B_{r}(y)\right)=\emptyset$, we get $I\left(F_{t}, y\right)=I\left(F_{t_{0}}, y\right)=0$.

Suppose $H^{-1}\left(t_{0}, y\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $V$ be a neighborhood of $\left(t_{0}, y\right)$ with (i) $H^{-1}(V) \subset(\alpha, \beta) \times \Omega$ and (ii) $\left[t_{0}-\epsilon_{1}, t_{0}+\epsilon_{1}\right] \times B_{r^{\prime}}(y) \subset V$ for $\epsilon_{1}>0$ and $r^{\prime}>0$. Let $p \in B_{r^{\prime}}(y) \cap R\left(F_{t_{0}}\right)$. If $H^{-1}\left(t_{0}, p\right)=\emptyset$, we have $I\left(F_{t}, p\right)=$
$I\left(F_{t_{0}}, p\right)=0$, as in the previous case, for $t \in\left[t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right]$ with $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{1}$ and hence, $I\left(F_{t}, y\right)=I\left(F_{t_{0}}, y\right)=0$ from Proposition 1. Now suppose $H^{-1}\left(t_{0}, p\right)=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right\}$. Since $\left(t_{0}, p\right) \in R(H)$ and so $J H\left(t_{0}, z_{i}\right) \neq 0$, there are $0<\epsilon^{\prime}<\epsilon_{1}$ and open neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of $z_{i}$ such that (i) $\bar{U}_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint and (ii) $H$ is diffeomorphic on $\left(t_{0}-\epsilon^{\prime}, t_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime}\right) \times U_{i}$. Then $F_{t}$ is also diffeomorphic on $U_{i}$ for $t \in\left(t_{0}-\epsilon^{\prime}, t_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime}\right)$. There are numbers $r>0$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that $\left(t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right) \times \overline{B_{r}(p)} \subset\left(t_{0}-\epsilon^{\prime}, t_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime}\right) \times$ $B_{r^{\prime}}(y) \subset \bigcap_{i} H\left(\left(t_{0}-\epsilon^{\prime}, t_{0}+\epsilon^{\prime}\right) \times U_{i}\right)$. It follows that for $t \in\left(t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right)$, $F_{t}^{-1}\left(B_{r}(p)\right) \subset \bigcup_{i} U_{i}$ and so $I\left(F_{t}, p\right)=I\left(F_{t_{0}}, p\right)$ and thus from Proposition $1, I\left(F_{t}, y\right)=I\left(F_{t_{0}}, y\right)$.

Definition 2. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous and differentiable in $\Omega$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$. Let $A \subset \Omega$ be a component of $f^{-1}(y)$. The order of multiplicity of $f$ at $A, \mu_{A}(f)$, is defined by $\mu_{A}(f)=I\left(f_{\bar{U}}, y\right)$, where $U \subset \Omega$ is a domain containing $A$ with $\bar{U} \cap f^{-1}(y)=A$.

Remark. This definition agrees with that of order of multiplicity of holomorphic maps in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ at an isolated preimage point [2].

Theorem 3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous and differentiable in $\Omega$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$. Then
(1). $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ if $I(f, y) \neq 0$.
(2). $I(f, y)=I(g, y)$ if $g: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $\bmod (\partial \Omega, y)$ homotopic smoothly in $\Omega$ to $f$.
(3). $I(f, y)=\sum_{i} \mu_{A_{i}}(f)$, where $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$ are the components of $f^{-1}(y)$.

Proof.
(1). Assume $I(f, y) \neq 0$. A sequence $y_{k} \in R(f)$ converges to $y$ and a sequence $x_{k} \in f^{-1}\left(y_{k}\right)$ has a limit point $x \in \Omega$ with $f(x)=y$.
(2). This follows from Proposition 2.
(3). Let $U_{i}$ be open domains such that (i) $A_{i} \subset U_{i} \subset \bar{U}_{i} \subset \Omega$, (ii) $\bar{U}_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint, and (iii) $y \notin f\left(\bigcup_{i} \partial U_{i} \cup(\partial \Omega)\right)$. Let $y_{k} \in R(f)$ be a sequence converging to $y$ such that $f^{-1}\left(y_{k}\right) \subset \bigcup U_{i}$. Now $I(f, y)=\lim \sup _{k} I\left(f, y_{k}\right)=\lim \sup _{k} \sum_{i} I\left(f_{\bar{U}_{i}}, y_{k}\right)=\sum_{i} I\left(f_{\bar{U}_{i}}, y\right)=$ $\sum_{i} \mu_{A_{i}}(f)$.
3. Main Results. An extension of the definition of intersection number to continuous $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is now given. By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem [5] there is a sequence of polynomials $P_{j}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which converges uniformly to $f$. The following lemma will facilitate a proof of Theorem 4, extending the collection of maps in Theorem 3 to a collection of continuous maps.

Convergence Lemma. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$. Suppose $P_{k}, Q_{j}$ are sequences of polynomials converging uniformly to $f$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then $I\left(P_{j}, y\right)=I\left(Q_{k}, y\right)$ for $j, k \geq N$ for a number $N$.

Proof. Let $r=\inf _{z \in \partial \Omega}\{|y-z|>0\}$. There is an integer $N$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{|g(x)-f(x)|: g(x)=P_{k}(x) \text { or } g(x)=Q_{j}(x), x \in \partial \Omega, j, k>N\right\}<\frac{r}{4} .
$$

Let $k, j>N$ and define $F_{k, j}:[0,1] \times \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $F_{k, j}(t, x)=t P_{k}(x)+$ $(1-t) Q_{j}(x)$. Since $y \notin F_{k, j}([0,1] \times \partial \Omega), F_{k, j}$ defines a smoothly in $\Omega$ $\bmod (\partial \Omega, y)$ homotopy of the polynomials $P_{k}, Q_{j}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3(2).

By the Convergence Lemma the notions of intersection number and order of multiplicity may be extended to continuous maps.

Definition 3. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a continuous map. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$ and let $A$ be a component of $f^{-1}(y)$.
(1). The intersection number, $I(f, y)$, of $f(\Omega)$ with $y$ is defined by $I(f, y)=$ $\lim \sup I\left(P_{i}, y\right)$, where $P_{i}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a sequence of polynomials which converges uniformly to $f$.
(2). The order of multiplicity, $\mu_{A}(f)$, of $f$ at $A$ is defined by $\mu_{A}(f)=$ $\limsup I\left(f_{\bar{U}}, y\right)$, where $U \subset \Omega$ is a domain containing $A$ with $\bar{U} \cap$ $f^{-1}(y)=A$.

Theorem 4 below, the main result, is a common generalization of Bolzano's Theorem, the Intermediate Value Theorem, Rouché's Theorem and Theorem A as Corollary 5 demonstrates.

Theorem 4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-f(\partial \Omega)$. Then
(1). $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ if $I(f, y) \neq 0$.
(2). $I(f, y)=I(g, y)$ if $g: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is continuous and $\partial f, \partial g: \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}-\{y\}$ are homotopic.
(3). $I(f, y)=\sum_{i} \mu_{A_{i}}(f)$, where $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$ are the components of $f^{-1}(y)$.

Proof.
(1). Suppose $P_{j}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a sequence of polynomials which converges uniformly to $f$ such that $I\left(P_{j}, y\right)=I(f, y)$. Then $I\left(P_{j}, y\right) \neq 0$ for all $j$
and so for a sequence $x_{j} \in \Omega, P_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=y$. Then $f(x)=y$ where $x \in \Omega$ is a limit point of a convergent subsequence of $x_{j}$.
(2). Let $G:([0,1] \times \partial \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}-\{y\}$ be a homotopy of $\partial f, \partial g: \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}-\{y\}$ with $G(0, x)=\partial f(x)$ and $G(1, x)=\partial g(x)$. Define a map $F$ on $(\{0,1\} \times$ $\bar{\Omega}) \cup([0,1] \times \partial \Omega)$ by $F(t, x)=G(t, x)$ for $(t, x) \in([0,1] \times \partial \Omega)$ and for $x \in \bar{\Omega}, F(0, x)=f(x)$ and $F(1, x)=g(x)$. By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem there is a sequence of polynomials $F_{k}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ converging uniformly to $F$ on $(\{0,1\} \times \bar{\Omega}) \cup([0,1] \times \partial \Omega)$. Define $Q_{k, t, t^{\prime}}:[0,1] \times \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $t, t^{\prime} \in[0,1]$ by $Q_{k, t, t^{\prime}}(s, x)=s F_{k}(t, x)+(1-s) F_{k}\left(t^{\prime}, x\right)$. For each $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ there is an $\epsilon>0$ such that for $t, t^{\prime} \in\left[t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right]$, we have $y \notin Q_{k, t, t^{\prime}}([0,1] \times \partial \Omega)$ eventually, say for $k \geq L$. Let $t, t^{\prime} \in\left[t_{0}-\epsilon, t_{0}+\epsilon\right]$. Then $Q_{k, t, t^{\prime}}$ defines a smoothly in $\Omega \bmod (\partial \Omega, y)$ homotopy of the polynomials $P_{k, t}, P_{k, t^{\prime}}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $P_{k, t}(x)=F_{k}(t, x)$. By the compactness of $[0,1]$ the maps $P_{k, 0}, P_{k, 1}$ are $\bmod (\partial \Omega, y)$ homotopic. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3(2).
(3). Let $W_{i} \subset \Omega$ be domains containing $A_{i}$ with $\bar{W}_{i} \cap f^{-1}(y)=A_{i}$ and with pairwise disjoint closures. Suppose $P_{j}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a sequence of polynomials converging uniformly to $f$ such that for an integer $L$ and $j \geq L, I\left(P_{j}, y\right)=I(f, y)$ and $I\left(\left(P_{j}\right)_{\bar{W}_{i}}, y\right)=I\left(f_{\bar{W}_{i}}, y\right)$. By Theorem $3, I\left(P_{j}, y\right)=\sum_{i} I\left(\left(P_{j}\right)_{\bar{W}_{i}}, y\right)$ and thus $I(f, y)=\sum_{i} I\left(f_{\bar{W}_{i}}, y\right)$.

Corollary 5 extends Theorem A to continuous maps.
Corollary 5. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $f, g: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous. Then $f^{-1}(O)$ and $g^{-1}(O)$ have the same number of components when counted with multiplicity if any one of the following is satisfied for $z \in \partial \Omega$.
i. $|f(z)+g(z)|<|f(z)|+|g(z)|$.
ii. $|f(z)-g(z)|<|f(z)|$.
iii. $\operatorname{Re}(\overline{f(z)} \cdot g(z))>0$, where $\mathbb{R}^{n} \neq \mathbb{C}^{k}$.

Proof. If any one of the conditions is satisfied for $z \in \partial \Omega$, the vectors $g(z)$ and $f(z)$ are not collinear and so the map $F(t, z)=t f(z)+(1-t) g(z)$ defines a $\bmod (\partial \Omega, O)$ homotopy of $f$ and $g$. Theorem 4 finishes the proof.

Corollary 6 extends Theorem A(1).
Corollary 6. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ containing $O$ and let $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a continuous map. If $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{z} \cdot f(z))>0$ for $z \in \partial \Omega$, then $I(f, O)=1$ and so $f^{-1}(O) \neq \emptyset$. In addition, if $f$ is holomorphic in $\Omega$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is a singleton.

Proof. The first part is immediate from Corollary 5 and Theorem 4(1). The second part follows from the first and the fact that a compact analytic
subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a finite set and an order of multiplicity for a holomorphic map is nonnegative.

Professor Baker [1] presented examples of maps below where the number of solutions of $f(x)=y$ for a continuous map $f: B^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, y \notin f(\partial B)$, is not exactly the absolute value, $\left|\gamma\left(f\left(\partial B^{2}\right), y\right)\right|$, of the winding number of the curve $f\left(\partial B^{2}\right)$ about $y$. Theorem 4 shows that if each connected component of the solution set is counted with multiplicity, the total number coincides with the winding number.

Examples. Let $f, g, h$ be maps on the unit disk $B^{2}$ defined by $f(x, y)=$ $(x,|y|), g(x, y)=\left(x^{2}-y^{2},-2 x y\right)$ and $h(x, y)=\phi\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)(x, y)$, where

$$
\phi(t)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 t-1, & \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

(1). The winding number $\gamma\left(f\left(\partial B^{2}\right),(0,0)\right)=0$. The equation $f(x, y)=$ $(0,0)$ has exactly one solution $(0,0)$ with $\mu_{(0,0)}(f)=0$ and the equation $f(x, y)=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ has exactly two solutions with $\mu_{\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)}(f)=1$ and $\mu_{\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f)=-1$.
(2). The winding number $\gamma\left(g\left(\partial B^{2}\right),(0,0)\right)=-2$. The equation $g(x, y)=$ $(0,0)$ has exactly one solution $(0,0)$ with $\mu_{(0,0)}(g)=-2$ and the equation $g(x, y)=\left(\frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$ has exactly two solutions $(0,0)$ with $\mu_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)}(g)=$ -1 and $\mu_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)}(g)=-1$.
(3). The winding number $\gamma\left(h\left(\partial B^{2}\right),(0,0)\right)=1$. The solution of the equation $h(x, y)=(0,0)$ is the connected set $A=\left\{(x, y):|(x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ with $\mu_{A}(h)=1$.
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